International Journal of Humanities & Social Science Studies (IJHSSS)
A Peer-Reviewed Bi-monthly Bi-lingual Research Journal
ISSN: 2349-6959 (Online), ISSN: 2349-6711 (Print)
ISJN: A4372-3142 (Online) ISJN: A4372-3143 (Print)
Volume-X, Issue-VI, November 2024, Page No.141-149
Published by Scholar Publications, Karimganj, Assam, India, 788711
Website: http://www.ijhsss.com
DOI: 10.29032/ijhsss.v10.i6.015

Status of Inclusive Healthcare Infrastructure in Uttarakhand

Dr. Thakur Dev Pandey
Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, HNB Garhwal University (A Central University, Srinagar (Garhwal) Uttarakhand, India

Dr. Chandra Shekhar
Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, HNB Garhwal University (A Central University, Srinagar (Garhwal) Uttarakhand, India

Received: 04.10.2024; Accepted: 15.10.2024; Available online: 30.11.2024

Abstract:

This study explores the status of healthcare infrastructure in Uttarakhand, analyzing the availability, distribution, and disparities in healthcare access across rural and urban regions, as well as between hilly and plain districts. The findings reveal both shortfalls and surpluses in rural areas. While there is a 9.68% shortfall in Community Health Centers (CHCs), indicating a need for expansion of critical care services, there is a 2.39% surplus in Primary Health Centers (PHCs) and a 21.39% surplus in subcenters, though functionality and staffing challenges persist. Urban areas show a substantial 51.28% shortfall in PHCs, highlighting an urgent need for infrastructure expansion because of growing population demands. The study further uncovers significant disparities between hill and plain districts. Hill districts have better accessibility to health centers per capita, while plain districts, with fewer facilities per 1,000 people, benefit from a concentration of advanced medical institutions and specialists. To achieve inclusive healthcare, it is essential to address the imbalance of specialists in hill districts and improve services in underserved areas. Overall, while Uttarakhand has made progress in developing its healthcare network, further investment is needed to ensure that healthcare services are comprehensive, equitable, and accessible to all residents.

Keywords: Uttarakhand, Healthcare, Rural-Urban, Hill-Plain.

1. Introduction: Inclusive healthcare is a fundamental component of a just and equitable society, ensuring that all individuals, regardless of their socio-economic status, disability, or geographical location, have access to essential health services. This principle is particularly crucial in regions like Uttarakhand, India, where diverse topography and socio-economic disparities create complex challenges for healthcare delivery.

Uttarakhand, characterized by its mountainous terrain and widespread rural communities, presents unique obstacles to achieving equitable healthcare access. The state's healthcare infrastructure must navigate the dual challenges of geographic isolation and varying levels of socio-economic development. These challenges are compounded by the need to address the healthcare needs of a population that includes marginalized groups, such as those living in remote areas, individuals with disabilities, and economically disadvantaged communities.

Previous research has highlighted the broader issues facing healthcare infrastructure in similar contexts. For instance, a study by Choudhury et al. (2017) examined healthcare access in remote and mountainous regions of India, identifying key barriers such as inadequate transportation and under-resourced facilities. Similarly, Sharma and Kumar (2020) explored the impact of geographical and socio-economic factors on healthcare delivery in Uttarakhand, emphasizing the disparities in service availability and quality between urban and rural areas.

This research paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the current status of inclusive healthcare infrastructure in Uttarakhand. By examining the existing healthcare facilities, accessibility issues, and inclusivity measures in place, this study seeks to highlight both the progress made and the gaps that remain. The investigation will draw upon the findings of previous studies, such as those by Singh et al. (2019), who assessed the effectiveness of healthcare policies in rural India, and Gupta and Patil (2021), who analyzed community health programs in hilly regions.

Through an in-depth exploration of policy frameworks, infrastructure development, and community health programs, this paper will offer insights into the effectiveness of current strategies and suggest potential improvements in enhancing healthcare inclusivity in the region. Understanding the status of inclusive healthcare infrastructure in Uttarakhand is crucial for informing future policy decisions and interventions. As the state continues to evolve and expand its healthcare capabilities, addressing these challenges and leveraging opportunities will be essential to ensuring that all residents, particularly those in marginalized and remote areas, can access quality healthcare services.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Overview of Healthcare Infrastructure in India: India's healthcare system is characterized by its vast disparities across states and regions, particularly between urban and rural areas. The healthcare infrastructure in India is a complex mix of public and private

providers, with significant challenges in accessibility, affordability, and quality. Public healthcare facilities, particularly in rural areas, often suffer from a shortage of medical staff, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to essential medicines. This has led to a reliance on private healthcare providers, further exacerbating inequality because of the high cost of services. Studies have highlighted that despite efforts to improve healthcare delivery, systemic issues such as underfunding, lack of political will, and inefficiencies in governance continue to hinder the development of a robust healthcare infrastructure in the country (Patel et al., 2015; Rao et al., 2018).

2.2 Challenges in Healthcare Infrastructure in Hilly Regions: Hilly regions like Uttarakhand face unique challenges in healthcare delivery because of difficult terrain, sparse population, and limited connectivity. The availability of healthcare facilities and trained medical professionals is often concentrated in urban centers, leaving rural and remote areas underserved. The situation is further exacerbated by the logistical challenges of transporting medical supplies and patients. Research has emphasized that the poor state of healthcare infrastructure in these regions leads to higher maternal and child mortality rates, and lower immunization coverage, often forcing residents to travel long distances for basic healthcare needs (Jalal et al., 2017; Pandey et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2020).

2.3 Inclusive Healthcare: The Concept and Implementation: Inclusive healthcare refers to the provision of health services that are accessible, affordable, and acceptable to all sections of the population, including marginalized and vulnerable groups. This concept emphasizes the need to address social determinants of health, reduce health disparities, and ensure that no one is left behind in the pursuit of universal health coverage. Studies have demonstrated that inclusive healthcare is critical for achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs) related to health. However, the implementation of inclusive healthcare requires concerted efforts in policy formulation, resource allocation, and the integration of healthcare services across different levels of government (Glasier, A., et. al, 2006; Panagariya A., 2014)

2.4. Healthcare Infrastructure in Uttarakhand: Present Situation and Gaps: Uttarakhand, a predominantly rural and hilly state in India, has seen significant improvements in its healthcare infrastructure over the past decades, particularly in terms of the number of healthcare facilities and the availability of primary healthcare services. However, the state still faces substantial challenges to ensure equitable access to healthcare services, especially for people living in remote and hilly areas. Studies have highlighted that while the state has made progress in expanding healthcare coverage, gaps remain in areas such as the availability of specialized healthcare services, the training and retention of healthcare workers, and the integration of traditional and modern healthcare practices (Sharma & Chauhan, 2018).

2.5 Policy Interventions and Future Directions: To address the challenges in Uttarakhand's healthcare infrastructure, policy interventions need to focus on improving accessibility, particularly in remote areas, enhancing the training and retention of healthcare

professionals, and investing in telemedicine and other technology-driven solutions. The state government has introduced several initiatives aimed at improving healthcare delivery, such as mobile health units and health insurance schemes for the poor. However, the success of these initiatives depends on effective implementation, monitoring, and community participation. Future research and policy efforts should also focus on integrating traditional healthcare systems with modern medical practices to provide a more holistic approach to healthcare delivery in the state.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design: The study will adopt a mixed-methods research design that combines quantitative and qualitative approaches to assess the current state of healthcare infrastructure in Uttarakhand. The aim is to examine the availability and quality of healthcare services, focusing particularly on inclusivity and disparities across different regions.

3.2 Study Area: The study will be conducted across the state of Uttarakhand, with a focus on both rural and urban areas, as well as hilly and plain regions.

3.3 Data: The study utilizes secondary data from government reports, health department records, and existing literature on healthcare infrastructure and services in Uttarakhand. Data sources include the National Health Mission (NHM) reports, the Census of India data, and the Uttarakhand State Health Index. Data on the number, type, and distribution of healthcare facilities (e.g., primary health centers, community health centers, district hospitals) will be sourced from government databases such as the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare and Uttarakhand State Health reports.

4. Objectives:

i. To assess the availability and distribution of healthcare infrastructure across the rural and urban regions of Uttarakhand, with a focus on the accessibility of primary, secondary, and tertiary care facilities.
ii. To analyze disparities in healthcare access between hilly and plain regions of Uttarakhand, and identify the challenges faced by populations in remote and hard-to-reach areas.

5. Healthcare Infrastructure in Uttarakhand: Health infrastructure forms the backbone of an efficient healthcare system, ensuring that people have access to essential services. In Uttarakhand, significant strides have been made toward improving healthcare facilities, although there are still challenges that need to be addressed to ensure inclusive access.

5.1. Healthcare Facilities in Uttarakhand: Uttarakhand, a state with challenging terrain in the Hills and a dense population in the plain areas, has made efforts to strengthen its healthcare system through a network of hospitals and medical colleges.

Table 1: The current healthcare infrastructure in Uttarakhand.

S. No.	Category	Number
1	Number of District Hospitals	13
2	Number of Sub-District Hospitals	19
3	Number of Government (Central + State) Medical College	4
4	Number of Private (Society + Trust) Medical College	2

Source: Health Dossier 2021: Reflections on Key Health Indicators – Uttarakhand

The facilities aim to provide quality healthcare services to the population, although the distribution of services can be unequal, particularly in remote and hilly regions.

5.2 Rural and Urban Gaps in Health Care: Healthcare delivery in Uttarakhand is marked by its diverse geographical terrain, with distinct challenges in rural and urban areas. While there have been efforts to strengthen health infrastructure, gaps remain between the required number of facilities and those currently in place, especially in rural regions. This section provides an analysis of the shortfalls and surpluses in Uttarakhand's rural and urban health infrastructure.

Table 2: Gaps in Rural-Urban Healthcare in Uttarakhand

Rural	Required (R)	In place (P)	Shortfall (S) (%)
Number of Community Health Centers (CHC)	62	56	9.68
Number of Primary Health Centers (PHC)	251	257	-2.39
Number of Subcenters (SC)	1,515	1,839	-21.39
Number of functional First Referral Units (FRUs)	DH	SDH	CHC
	8	9	10
Urban	Required (R)	In place (P)	Shortfall (S) (%)
PHC	78	38	51.28

Source: Health Dossier 2021: Reflections on Key Health Indicators – Uttarakhand

5.2.1 Rural Health Infrastructure*:* In rural Uttarakhand, where most of the population resides, several healthcare facilities are either falling short of requirements or exceeding them slightly. Table 2 shows the rural-urban gaps in the health infrastructure in Uttarakhand. CHCs in rural areas serve as referral centers for PHCs and cater to critical care services. The 9.68% shortfall in the availability of CHCs emphasizes the necessity of bridging this gap to enhance referral services and specialized care in rural areas. Interestingly, the number of PHCs in rural areas exceeds the required number by 2.39%, reflecting a slight surplus. However, the challenge remains in ensuring that these PHCs are fully equipped and staffed to meet the demands of the population effectively. There is a 21.39% surplus in subcenters, which are essential for providing primary care and maternal-child health services at the grassroots level. However, despite the surplus, ensuring their

functionality and accessibility, especially in hard-to-reach areas, remains critical. The number of functional FRUs, which also play a crucial role in emergency obstetric and newborn care, is fairly balanced across different types of health facilities in rural areas. However, maintaining the functionality of these FRUs through adequate resources and staffing is also challenging in rural areas of Uttarakhand.

5.2.2 Urban Health Infrastructure: Gaps and Challenges: Urban areas in Uttarakhand encounter a substantial deficit in healthcare facilities, especially in Primary Health centers (PHCs). Urban Uttarakhand experiences a substantial shortfall of 51.28% in PHCs. This gap highlights the need for the government to focus on expanding and strengthening urban health services, especially as urban populations continue to grow, increasing the demand for primary healthcare.

5.3 Health Indicators: Uttarakhand vs. India: Uttarakhand performs relatively well on some health indicators when compared to the national average.

Table 3: Indicators of Health Care

Indicators	India	Uttarakhand
Infant Mortality Rate (IMR)	30	27
Crude Death Rate (CDR)	6	6
Crude Birth Rate (CBR)	19.7	17.1
Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR)	113	99
Neo Natal Mortality Rate (NNMR)	23	22
Under Five Mortality Rate (U5MR)	36	33
Still Birth Rate	4	8
Total Fertility Rate (TFR)	2.2	1.8
Life expectancy at birth	69.4	70.9
Sex Ratio at Birth	899	840

Source: Health Dossier 2021: Reflections on Key Health Indicators – Uttarakhand

5.3.1 Patient Services and Healthcare Utilization: Despite the improvement in health indicators, healthcare utilization in Uttarakhand is significantly lower than the national average.

Table 4: Healthcare Utilization Metrics: India vs. Uttarakhand

Patient Services	India	Uttarakhand
IPD per 1000 population	62.6	31.79
OPD per 1000 population	1337.1	765.97
Operation (surgeries) major (General and Spinal Anesthesia) per 10000 population	36.4	19.55

Source: Health Dossier 2021: Reflections on Key Health Indicators – Uttarakhand

Table 4 compares the patient services and healthcare utilization in Uttarakhand and India. In the state, the Inpatient Department (IPD) services have 31.79 IPD visits per 1,000 population, much lower than the national average of 62.6. This suggests that fewer people in the state are utilizing hospital services, possibly because of limited access or distance from healthcare facilities. Similarly, the number of Outpatient Department (OPD) visits in Uttarakhand is 765.97 per 1,000 population, which is lower than the national average of 1,337.1. Major surgeries (general and spinal anesthesia) performed per 10,000 population in Uttarakhand are 19.55, compared to the national average of 36.4, indicating the underutilization of advanced healthcare services.

5.4 Healthcare Infrastructure Distribution in Uttarakhand: Hill vs. Plain Districts:

District	Medical College Hospital	District Hospital	Sub-District Hospital	Other Hospital	CHC	PHC (A)	PHC (B)	Sub Center	Population (2018)(in Lakh)	Health center per 1000	Health Specialists
Hill Districts	1	12	9	9	51	301	125	1247	41.80	0.42	191
Plain Districts	5	7	11	15	28	112	43	634	69.85	0.122	229
Uttarakhand	6	19	20	24	79	413	168	1881	111.64	0.24	420

Source: Health Dossier 2021: Reflections on Key Health Indicators – Uttarakhand

The table compares healthcare infrastructure between hill and plain districts in Uttarakhand. Hill districts have more healthcare facilities per 1,000 people (0.42) than plain districts (0.122). Despite a smaller population (41.80 lakh) compared to plain districts (69.85 lakh), hill districts have a higher number of Community Health Centers (51), Primary Health Centers (301 in PHC-A and 125 in PHC-B), and sub-centers (1,247). Plain districts, however, host more advanced facilities, such as medical college hospitals, and have more health specialists.

Overall, the state of Uttarakhand, with a population of 111.64 lakh, has 6 medical college hospitals, 19 district hospitals, 413 PHC-A centers, and 79 CHCs. Despite having a larger network of healthcare facilities, the hill districts may face challenges in specialist availability, as plain districts hold a larger share of medical experts. This suggests a balance in the healthcare system, where hill districts prioritize accessibility, while the plains focus on specialized care and advanced medical services.

6. Conclusion: The study analyzed the availability and distribution of healthcare infrastructure across the rural and urban regions of Uttarakhand and examined the disparities in healthcare access between hilly and plain regions of Uttarakhand.

The study found that in rural Uttarakhand, healthcare infrastructure presents both shortfalls and slight surpluses. Community Health Centers (CHCs), crucial for referral and critical care services, face a 9.68% shortfall, underscoring the need for expansion to improve specialized care. Although the number of Primary Health Centers (PHCs) exceeds the requirement by 2.39%, ensuring these centers are well-equipped and fully staffed remains a challenge. Subcenters, vital for grassroots-level care, show a 21.39% surplus, but their functionality, particularly in remote areas, needs improvement. Functional First Referral Units (FRUs) are fairly balanced, yet maintaining adequate resources and staffing in rural areas remains difficult.

In urban Uttarakhand, there is a significant shortfall in healthcare infrastructure, particularly in Primary Health Centers, with a 51.28% deficit. This highlights a pressing need to expand urban health services, as growing populations increase the demand for primary healthcare.

The study further highlights significant disparities and unique challenges faced by both hill and plain districts in the state. Hill districts demonstrate better accessibility to healthcare facilities, with a higher number of health centers per 1,000 people, ensuring broader geographic coverage. However, the plain districts, despite having fewer facilities per capita, benefit from a concentration of advanced medical institutions and a higher number of healthcare specialists, reflecting a focus on more specialized services in urban and densely populated areas.

While the healthcare network in Uttarakhand shows considerable effort toward inclusivity, the uneven distribution of medical specialists and advanced care facilities between hill and plain districts remains a concern. To achieve truly inclusive healthcare, the state must address the gaps in specialist availability in hill districts and enhance the quality of services in underserved areas. Policies should aim to balance accessibility and specialization across both regions, promoting equitable health outcomes for all citizens, regardless of geographical location.

Thus, from the findings, we may conclude that, while rural Uttarakhand shows some surplus in health facilities, the focus must shift to improving functionality, staffing, and accessibility. Urban areas require substantial investment in expanding PHCs to address the widening gap in healthcare infrastructure, ensuring both rural and urban populations have access to adequate health services. Moreover, since its inception, the Uttarakhand state has made strides in establishing an extensive healthcare network. Further investments in human resources, technology, and infrastructure are needed to ensure that healthcare delivery is not only accessible but also comprehensive and equitable for all residents.

References:

1) Singh, P., Gupta, R., & Agarwal, S. (2019). Evaluating Healthcare Policies in Rural India: A Critical Review. Indian Journal of Public Health, 63(3), 215-223.
2) Gupta, N., & Patil, S. (2021). Community Health Programs in Hilly Regions: Lessons from Uttarakhand. International Journal of Health Services, 51(1), 77-90.
3) Panagariya A. (2014). The Challenges and innovative solutions to rural health dilemma. Annals of neurosciences, 21(4), 125–127. https://doi.org/10.5214/ans.0972.7531.210401
4) Glasier, A., Gülmezoglu, A. M., Schmid, G. P., Moreno, C. G., & Van Look, P. F. (2006). Sexual and reproductive health: a matter of life and death. Lancet (London, England), 368(9547), 1595–1607. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69478-6
5) Patel, V., Parikh, R., Nandraj, S., Balasubramaniam, P., Narayan, K., Paul, V. K., Kumar, A. K., Chatterjee, M., & Reddy, K. S. (2015). Assuring health coverage for all in India. Lancet (London, England), 386(10011), 2422–2435. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00955-1
6) Rao, M., Rao, K. D., Kumar, A. K., Chatterjee, M., & Sundararaman, T. (2011). Human resources for health in India. Lancet (London, England), 377(9765), 587–598. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61888-0
7) Pandey, A., Roy, N., Sahu, D., Acharya, R., & Singh, S. K. (2017). Maternal and child health in mountainous and hilly regions: Examining the status and challenges in India. PLOS ONE, 12(11), e0188103. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188103
8) Jalal, R. S., Bisht, N. S., & Pandey, T. D. (2017). Rural-Urban Disparities in Uttarakhand. Emperor International Journal of Finance and Management Research. Mayas Publication. ISSN: 2395-5929. Volume-III, Special Issue – 12. PP 475-489.
9) Kumar, P., Gupta, M., & Kumar, D. (2020). Healthcare delivery in the hilly terrain of Uttarakhand, India: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 9(8), 3991-3997. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_519_20
10) Sharma, S., & Chauhan, R. (2018). Healthcare infrastructure and accessibility in the hilly state of Uttarakhand: An analysis. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 9(12), 85-89. https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-5506.2018.01807.9